

An Evaluation of the Influence of the CARE (<u>C</u>ompassion <u>A</u>nd <u>R</u>espect at the <u>E</u>nd-of-Life) Program on Registered Nurses' Knowledge and Comfort about End-of-Life Care and Care Delivery for Patients with Life-Limiting Illnesses

Principal Investigator: Brenda Chan DNP, RN-BC, FNP

Huntington Hospital

Faculty Advisor: Dr. Aurelia Macabasco-O'Connell PhD, RN, ACNP-BC, FAHA

Problem and Significance

- Urgent need for quality palliative care
 Palliative care training is inadequate and inconsistent
- Little is known about nurses' knowledge and comfort when caring for the dying

Purpose of the TRP

To evaluate the effectiveness of the CARE
Program and the CARES Tool to empower
nurses to deliver compassionate,
dignified, and personalized nursing care
at end-of-life consistent with patient and
family wishes.

Research Questions

- Will nurses report an increase in knowledge and comfort to enhance patient and family centered communications (PFCC) at patients' end-of-life after attending the CARE Program at the end of six-week trial study?
- 2. Will nurses report an increase in knowledge and comfort to enhance cultural and ethical values (CEV) assessments at patients' end-of-life after attending the CARE Program at the end of six-week trial study?
- 3. Will nurses report an increase in knowledge and comfort to enhance effective care delivery (ECD) at patients' end-of-life after attending the CARE Program at the end of six-week trial study?

Methods

- One group pre/post intervention study design
- Intervention-CARE Program training for nurses at Huntington Hospital
- Tool: End-of-Life Professional
 Caregiver Survey (EPCS) to measure
 nurses' knowledge and comfort of
 end-of-life care in the domains of
 patient-and-family-centered
 communication (PFCC), cultural and
 ethical values (CEV), and effective
 care delivery (ECD)
- CARES Tool survey to assess the effectiveness of the CARES Tool in improving end-of-life care.
- Number of participants recruited N=51
- Number of participants returned the post-test N=24

Data Collection Tools

-															
	have a contracted by the control of performant respectations on process.							CARES Tool Engluration							
					Terresident of Contract Contra										
		1		-	 	Facultina and a second				The last of		-	a for part	-	
						Commission rate professing beliefer. It				maria sente deriv					
	and the security for the section of the feet.														
	combinitive (Copyrophers and Benlin Anni					(per periodic proving profused great			-	mars that you beyon made					
	CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF					Contracting and their advertises of the large and an advertise and advertises and				Genter	Mar.	-	200	livare	line Proj
	e um hart, media antidochimip hi Migriera					The resignation of the policy of the agreement of the policy of the poli				To Delivery the section of			7		
										(MARIN					
										Fundamental Persons.					
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T					for facility or some				THE PERSON NAMED IN					
- 5						(and the street of the street of				to make and the					
- 2						Department and Lance and - 1				OND SCROOL					
	THE RESERVE ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT									Marine Average					
- 10	Contract to the Contract to the Contract of th					The contract of the second species of the contract of the cont				to administrative to the second secon					
- 15	All the last will be to be the last of the					The factor of the service reasons used as				many that knows					

Statistical Analysis

Paired T-test will be used to compare individual pre-text and post eat across along with domain scores to note any statistical significances.

One-way ANOVA will be used to identify relationships among variables and the domain scores.

Multivariate analysis using GLM will be done to estimate relationships among variables, i.e. such as gender, professional degree, practice settings, previous EOL knowledge, previous use of the CARES tool, and previous use of the palliative care services.

Results

Differences in Pre/Post EPCS Domain and Total Scores (*p<0.05)

Unit	N	PFCC (SD)	CEV (SD)	ECD (SD)	Total (SD)
Ambulatory	N = 1	4	1	1	6
Critical Care -DOU -ICU	N = 8 N = 3	-0.8 (4.9) 3.7 (8)	0.6 (2.7) 2.3 (3.2)	1.5 (6.3) -2 (6.5)	1.4 (12.7) 4 (2.0)
Medical Units -Medical -Ortho/Neuro	N = 10 N = 2	6.7 (5.7) 27.5 (2.1)	5.3 (5.3) 14 (7.1)	5.1 (4.8) 11 (5.7)	17.1 (14.2) 52.5 (14.9)
Total	N = 24	5.5 (9.1)*	3.9 (5.5)*	3.3 (6.2)*	12.7 (18.5)*

Domain Scores (p< 0.05)



EPCS Items showed statistical significance in

pre/post-test scores (p< 0.05)										
	Item			Change						
C1	I am comfortable dealing with ethical issues related to end- of-life/hospice/palliative care (highest score change & % change)	2	2.8	0.8						
P12	I encourage patients and families to complete advanced care planning (highest score change)	2.4	3.1	0.8						
P8	I am comfortable helping to resolve difficult family conflicts about end-of-life care	2	2.6	0.6						
C6	I am comfortable providing grief counseling for families	2	2.6	0.6						
E4	I am familiar with the services hospice provides	2.5	3.1	0.6						
P7	I am comfortable talking with other health care professionals about the care of dying patients	2.8	3.4	0.6						
E6	I feel confident addressing requests for assisted suicide (scored the lowest on pre/post test)	1.2	1.8	0.6						
E3	I am effective at helping patients and families navigate the health care system	2.2	2.7	0.5						
Р3	I am comfortable talking to patients and families about personal choices and self-determination	2.4	2.9	0.5						
P10	I know how to use non-drug therapies in management of patients' symptoms	2.4	2.9	0.5						
P2	I am able to set goals for care with patients and families	2.5	3	0.5						
C2	I am able to deal with my feelings related to working with dying patients	2.9	3.4	0.5						
C3	I am able to be present with dying patients (scored the highest on pre/post test)	3.0	3.5	0.5						

CARES Tool Survey

=	CARES TOOL Survey										
E	No.	Question	Mean Score	SD							
	1	The CARES Tool could help improve end-of-life care for patients	4.5	0.5							
	2	I understand the basic concepts of the CARES Tool	4.3	0.4							
	3	I can incorporate use of the CARES Tool into my clinical practice	4.3	0.9							
	4	I can teach the use of the CARES Tool to my co- workers, and to the family and friends of my dying patients	4.1	0.8							
	5	I can explain the difference between suffering and the normal dying process	4.2	0.7							

Conclusions

The study results showed that the CARE Program education and the CARES Tool were effective in improving knowledge and comfort level for nurses who care for patients at end-of-life in the domains of patient and family centered communication, cultural and ethical values assessments, and effective care delivery. Nurses without prior knowledge of care for the dying improved the most in all three domains of scores. Nurses with prior knowledge of end-of-life care showed the least improvement in scores in all three domains. In addition, the CARES Tool was found to be an effective educational tool to be incorporated into clinical practice to enhance knowledge and comfort when caring for patients at end-of-life or living with chronic conditions.

Implications

Moving forward, this study will provide great impetus and support for future implementation of the CARE Program to all nursing staff and other health care providers, i.e. physicians, respiratory therapists, social workers, dieticians, etc. to improve communication, ethical assessments, and care delivery.

CARE Program Agenda

- Communication
- CARES Tool www.carestool.com
- Care Cart Resources

Special Thanks to: CARES Tool Creator: Dr. Bonnie Freeman RN, DNP, ANP-BC, ACHPN. CT

Project Advisor: Dr. Linda Searle-Leach PhD, RN, NEA-BC
Care Program Team: Stacey Hoffman BSN, RN Frances Johnson
BSN, RN Ashleigh Reid BSN, RN, OCN
Amy Stadeli BSN, RN Kimberly Sullivan BSN, RN
6 West nurses and all study participants from Huntington
Hospital